UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JENNIFER HOUSMAN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MATT SENCHESAK,

Defendant.

Case No.: 2:24-cv-00752-GMN-BNW

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation ("R&R"), (ECF No. 3), from United States Magistrate Judge Brenda Weksler, which recommends granting Plaintiff's motion to dismiss her own case, (ECF No. 2), dismissing the case without prejudice, and closing the case.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a *de novo* determination of those portions to which objections are made if the Magistrate Judge's findings and recommendations concern matters that may not be finally determined by a magistrate judge. D. Nev. R. IB 3-2(b). The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's R&R where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g.*, *United States v. Reyna–Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed. (*See* R&R, ECF

No. 3) (setting August 14, 2024, deadline for objections).

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 3), is **ACCEPTED and ADOPTED** in full.

The Clerk of Court is kindly requested to close the case.

Dated this 16 day of August, 2024.

Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge
United States District Court